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A conjugated polymer (CP) and molecular-beacon-based solid-state DNA sensing system is developed to achieve sensitive, label-free detection. A novel conjugated poly(oxadiazole) derivative exhibiting amine and thiol functional groups (POX-SH) is developed for unique chemical and photochemical stability and convenient solid-state on-chip DNA synthesis. POX-SH is soluble in most nonpolar organic solvents and exhibits intense blue fluorescence. POX-SH is covalently immobilized onto a maleimido-functionalized glass slide by means of its thiol group. Molecular beacons having a fluorescent dye or quencher molecule as the fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) acceptor are synthesized on the immobilized POX-SH layer through direct on-chip oligonucleotide synthesis using the amine side chain of POX-SH. Selective hybridization of the molecular beacon probes with the target DNA sequence opens up the molecular beacon probes and affects the FRET between POX-SH and the dye or quencher, producing a sensitive and label-free fluorescence sensory signal. Various molecular design parameters, such as the size of the stem and loop of the molecular beacon, the choice of dye, and the number of quencher molecules are systematically controlled, and their effects on the sensitivity and selectivity are investigated.

1. Introduction

DNA microarrays have become a powerful tool in gene sequencing and gene expression studies. Principally, DNA microarrays are collections of microscopic spots of oligonucleotides, commonly representing many different kinds of single genes, arrayed on a microscope slide or a chip by covalent attachment for high-throughput screening. Thousands of individual genes can be spotted or directly synthesized on a single square inch slide at a time. Analyte DNA or RNA molecules are then labeled with a fluorescent dye and spread out onto the DNA microarray. Complementary sequences will be hybridized with the probing DNA on the DNA microarray. After washing off nonspecifically bound analyte DNA, the microarray is scanned to visualize the bound DNA by means of the labeled fluorescent dye. The fluorescent dye labeling is necessary even though dye tagging the analyte DNA requires time and money because conventional DNA microarrays do not have any capability to generate sensory signal itself. In this regard, a self-signaling DNA microarray that allows label-free detection is highly desirable. There have been several new generations of responsive probes having optical or electrical signal upon binding with a label-free target. Among these are surface plasmonic resonance (SPR), quartz crystal microbalance, label-free electronic detection of DNA, the use of intercalating dye, and molecular beacon concept.

The molecular beacon was devised as a self-signaling probe to eliminate the time consuming fluorescence labeling of analyte DNAs. A traditional molecular beacon is a hairpin-structured single-stranded DNA (ssDNA), in which a fluorescent dye and a quencher molecule located at the two ends of the hairpin are in close contact. Due to the adjacent quencher molecule, the dye cannot emit any fluorescence unless the molecular beacon hybridizes with a complementary ssDNA. Hybridization with a complementary ssDNA opens the hairpin, moving the fluorescent dye away from the quencher, thus allowing the dye to emit its fluorescence signal.
Conjugated polymers (CPs) have received much attention as signal-transducing elements for sensory applications due to the signal amplification property and the versatility in molecular design of CPs. Various chemical sensors and biosensors have been developed based on CPs.\textsuperscript{[26–42]} Previously we developed signal-amplifying molecular DNA sensors to achieve selective and sensitive detection of DNA in aqueous solution. We also extended the signal-amplifying strategy to the DNA microarrays by uniquely combining rationally designed chemically and photochemically stable CPs and the solid-state DNA synthesis.\textsuperscript{[19,41,42]}

In this contribution, we systematically investigated the molecular design principle of DNA molecular beacons to add a self-signaling feature to the signal-amplifying CP-based DNA microarrays to achieve label-free DNA detection (Fig. 1). We covalently tethered a newly developed CP by using its thiol side chain to a maleimide-modified solid substrate and then synthesized molecular beacons from the amine functional group of the polymer through on-chip DNA synthesis. The molecular beacon probes were terminated by a quencher or a fluorescent dye to produce turn-on-type and turn-off-type self-signaling and signal-amplifying DNA microarrays. We systematically studied the effects of the variation in the probe size, stem length, and the number of quenchers per molecular beacon probe on the sensitivity of the microarrays. The devised strategy can provide convenient self-signaling and signal amplifying properties and can be readily applied to the conventional DNA microarray fabrication method.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Polymer Design and Synthesis

The chemical structure of the polymer was carefully designed by considering the required properties for covalent immobilization of the polymer, as well as the harsh reaction condition of the on-chip DNA synthesis. We previously developed an oxadiazole-containing and amine-functionalized CP.\textsuperscript{[41,43]} The oxadiazole unit had provided chemical and photochemical stability while the amine side chain had immobilized the polymer to a solid substrate and the on-chip DNA synthesis at the same time. We used the same oxadiazole unit for stability, but we added another unit having a thiol, solely for the polymer immobilization, in order to control the amount of the amine-containing unit for the on-chip DNA synthesis. The synthesis of the monomer M1, which is required for the thiol-containing poly(oxadiazole) derivative (POX-SH), is illustrated in Scheme 1 (detailed synthesis and characterization of the compounds are provided in the Experimental Section). 2,5-Dibromohydroquinone was reacted with 1,6-dibromohexane by Williamson-ether synthesis. To prevent the formation of crosslinked by-products between the dibromoalkyl compound and hydroquinone, the latter was very slowly added dropwise into an excess of dibromohexane solution. However from a later separate investigation, we found out that if the dibromoalkane molecule has a longer chain than a C5 when it is attached to the phenol group, the reactivity of the other bromine at the other end of the alkyl chain is significantly decreased. Therefore, Williamson-ether synthesis of a dibromohexyl compound with a phenolic group can be done in a one-pot synthesis with a reasonable yield by using excess amounts of the dibromoalkyl compound. The resulting compound 1 was reacted with thiourea to give the dithiol compound 2. This compound turned out to be very unstable in air due to disulfide formation. Therefore, the thiol group was protected using trityl chloride. Initially, the mixture was translucent due to the limited solubility of compound 2 in methylene chloride. However, the solution became completely transparent after the thiol group was protected with the trityl group.

As shown in Scheme 2, the copolymerization was carried out by means of Suzuki crosscoupling polymerization of the aryl halide monomers M1, M2, and M3 and a fluorine monomer M4 having a boronate unit in the presence of a palladium catalyst.\textsuperscript{[44]} Monomer M2 having amine groups and M3 having the oxadiazole unit were prepared according to our previous work.\textsuperscript{[41,43]} The monomers M1, M2, and M3 showed good solubility in organic solvents, such as chloroform and tetrahydrofuran (THF) (>15 mg mL\textsuperscript{−1}). We controlled the monomer ratio to obtain CPs having various compositions. As summarized in Table 1, there is a strong inverse correlation between the molecular weight of the resulting polymers and the fraction of M1 in the aryl halide monomers. It is likely that the polymerization rate decreases once M1, which has a bulky side chain, is incorporated in the growing polymer chain. The number \(M_n\) and weight \(M_w\) average molecular weight of the polymers were determined by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) using monodisperse polystyrenes as standards. The \(M_n\) and the \(M_w\) of the polymers before the cleavage of the
protecting groups are listed in Table 1. The polymer before the deprotection of the tert-butyl carbamate (t-BOC) and trityl groups was favorably soluble in nonpolar organic solvents, like chloroform and THF, but insoluble in polar solvents and had a pale yellow color.

Deprotection of the trityl and t-BOC groups was conducted in 50% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in chloroform to give POX-SH. The cleavage of the trityl and t-BOC groups was monitored by 1H-NMR analysis. For the t-BOC cleavage, two peaks at 4.50 and 1.43 ppm corresponding to the carbamate proton and tertiary butyl proton, respectively, disappeared, and a new single peak at 1.97 ppm corresponding to the primary amine was observed. In addition, a peak corresponding to the alkyl protons next to the /C0 group shifted from 3.13 to 2.67 ppm. For the trityl group cleavage, the peak intensities at 7.40–7.20 ppm corresponding to the aromatic protons significantly decreased, and we observed new peaks at 2.55 and 1.35 ppm corresponding to the alkyl and free thiol protons, respectively. After the deprotection, the solubility of POX-SH in chloroform slightly decreased, but significantly decreased in THF.

2.2. Fabrication of the DNA Microarray

The procedure of the polymer immobilization on a glass substrate is schematically described in Figure 2. In order to prevent any loss of the polymer during the on-chip DNA synthesis, POX-SH (M_n = 19 500) was covalently tethered to a glass substrate. Amine groups were first introduced onto the glass by means of a self-assembled monolayer of aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTMS). The subsequent treatment of the slide with the heterofunctional crosslinker, succinimidyl 4-[N-maleimido-methyl)cyclohexane-1-carboxylate (SMCC) gave the maleimido group, which has a high affinity to thiol. POX-SH was then covalently linked onto the glass substrate through the maleimido group. After immobilization of POX-SH, any unbound polymer was removed by cycles of sonication and thorough rinsing.

Surface characterization of the glass substrate was performed by water contact angle measurements and UV–vis spectroscopy (see Fig. S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information for details). The water contact angle gradually increased with the APTMS and SMCC reactions followed by the polymer tethering because of the increased hydrophobicity of the surface, confirming successful polymer tethering. The UV–vis spectrum of the substrate after the SMCC reaction shows a broad tailing band around 300 nm corresponding to SMCC suggesting successful conjugation of SMCC onto the amine-modified surface. The resulting POX-SH-coated slide showed two new bands at 355 and 385 nm corresponding to the 0–1, 0–0 band of POX-SH absorption, respectively. The intense blue photoluminescence at 425 nm as shown in Figure 3 also confirms the successful POX-SH tethering to the glass substrate.

The DNA beacon probes were synthesized on the slide having POX-SH by applying the phosphoramidite chemistry to the amine group of POX-SH. The density of the DNA beacon probes after the on-chip DNA synthesis was estimated by measuring the amount of dimethyltrityl (DMT), which was cleaved from the synthesized oligonucleotides at the final cycle of the on-chip DNA synthesis.

Table 1. The monomer ratio and molecular weight of the resulting polymers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
<th>M4</th>
<th>M_n[a]</th>
<th>M_w[a]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>15 400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4700</td>
<td>23 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19 500</td>
<td>76 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Unit: g mol⁻¹, molecular weight is calculated by polystyrene-based GPC with THF as eluent.

Figure 2. Surface modification and polymer immobilization.
2.3. Molecular Beacon Design and its Effects on the Sensitivity

We designed turn-on-type molecular beacon probes, as well as turn-off-type molecular beacon probes. The turn-on-type probes have 4-(4-dimethylaminophenylazo)benzoic acid (DABCYL) as a quencher at the end of the sequence so that the fluorescent emission of POX-SH is quenched when the molecular beacon forms the closed hairpin structure. The quenched emission will be turned-off upon binding with a target DNA sequence. The turn-off-type probes have a fluorescence dye instead of a quencher so that the fluorescent resonance energy transfer (FRET) from POX-SH to the dye makes the dye produce amplified emission. The amplified emission from the dye will be turned-off when the probe hybridizes with its target sequence. We systematically varied the lengths of the loop and stem and the amounts of DABCYL or fluorescent dye in the molecular beacon design, and investigated the effects of these parameters on the sensitivity of the DNA microarray.

Table 2 shows the sequence of the molecular beacon probes, P1 and P2, which have different lengths, and their target complementary (C1 and C2) and noncomplementary sequences (N1 and N2). The underlined sequences are for the formation of the closed hairpin stem in the absence of the target DNA sequence. The shaded sections of the molecular probes are the sequences complementary to the target DNA sequences. Even though the two specific DNA sequences were used as a probe sequence in this study, various DNA sequences can be simultaneously synthesized on the POX-SH layer for high-throughput detection. We first investigated the turn-on-type probes. The probe P1 sequence adapted from the *Staphylococcus aureus* femA gene was synthesized on the POX-SH layer and terminated by DABCYL attachment. The successful on-chip synthesis of the molecular beacon having DABCYL was confirmed by the new DABCYL absorption band at 460 nm in the UV–vis absorption spectrum (Fig. 4). We added two thymine (T) units as a spacer between the P1 sequence and the POX-SH to allow for sufficient mobility of the molecular beacon enabling efficient hybridization. Therefore, there are three T units between the POX-SH and the stem of P1, and the distance is 10.2 Å as depicted in Figure 5a. In this case the POX-SH emission was quenched 52% by DABCYL while the emission was fully recovered when the complementary DNA sequence was introduced. The control slide having the same P1 sequence, but no quencher did not show any fluorescence quenching in the closed form, confirming that the 52% quenching was induced by DABCYL (Fig. 5b). Because the sensitivity of this type of turn-on sensors is determined by the level of the emission intensity recovery relative to the initial intensity, the initial emission intensity of POX-SH should be quenched as much as possible. Then DABCYL should be located close to the POX-SH surface to sufficiently quench the POX-SH emission because the FRET efficiency is highly dependent on the inverse sixth power of the intermolecular distance between the donor and acceptor. To achieve the closest distance between a DABCYL and a quencher, we removed the TT spacer even though this may reduce the mobility of the molecular beacon. As we can see in Figure 5c, in this case, the initial emission intensity of POX-SH was quenched 48% more than that of POX-SH having the TT spacer (Fig. 5c) due to the smaller distance between DABCYL and POX-SH. The emission was fully recovered when the complementary DNA sequence was introduced.

We applied super-quenchers (Sqs), an array of multiple quenchers, to our DNA microarrays to further suppress the initial fluorescence emission. Tan et al. investigated multiple quenchers in molecular beacon design. The researchers synthetically assembled two or three DABCYLs at the end of a molecular beacon and achieved better quenching efficiency in its closed form and relatively large enhancement of fluorescent turn-on signal in solution due to the super-quenching effect. We synthesized two
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types of super-quenchers (three DABCYLS, 3Q, and nine DABCYLS, 9Q) directly on the POX-SH layer as illustrated in Scheme 3. However, unlike the results of the solution study in the literature, the quenching efficiency of these super-quenchers was even worse than that of the monoquencher. The 3Q and 9Q showed only 47 and 57% quenching efficiency, respectively; this is much less than that of the monoquencher (75%). This is likely because the molecular beacon containing the super-quenchers does not form a stable closed stem in the solid state due to the steric hindrance of the bulky super-quencher at the solid surface.

We also investigated the effect of the loop size of the molecular beacon on the initial quenching of the closed form. We tested the longer P1 of 50 bp and the shorter P2 of 30 bp listed in Table 1. As we can see from Figure 6, while the emission of both DNA microarrays having P1 and P2, respectively, was completely recovered upon hybridization with the target DNA sequences, C1 and C2, respectively, the initial emission intensity of POX-SH was better quenched in the case of the shorter P2 probe. The yield of the on-chip DNA synthesis to add each base unit for the molecular beacon synthesis is over 99%. Therefore, the overall synthetic yield of the longer P1 of 50 bp and the shorter P2 of 30 bp is approximately 60.5 and 74.0%, respectively. This calculation suggests that the density of DABCYL of P2 is higher than that of P1. This is presumably the reason for the better quenching efficiency of the shorter probe P2.

In addition to the turn-on strategy discussed above, we extended the molecular-beacon-based label-free and signal-amplifying DNA-microarray concept to a turn-off sensor scheme by incorporating a fluorescent dye instead of the DABCYL quencher (Fig. 7). A fluorescein (green dye) or a HEX (yellow dye), which act as FRET acceptors, was placed at the end of the molecular beacon. In the closed form, the fluorescent dye is located close to the POX-SH layer and efficiently takes the fluorescence resonance energy from the FRET donor, POX-SH. The emission signal from the dye is largely amplified (turned-on) through the FRET process because macromolecular POX-SH absorbs a much larger number of photons than a small molecular dye and the absorbed energy can be effectively transferred to the dye. When the molecular beacon probe recognizes its target sequence, it forms a stable probe-target double helix by DNA hybridization. This recognition event moves the dye away from the POX-SH layer preventing FRET from the donor POX-SH to the dye, the FRET acceptor. Therefore, the dye emission should be quenched as a result (turned-off).

Figure 8 shows the fluorescence spectra of POX-SH having the molecular probe P1 or P2 with a fluorescein dye (5’-fluorescein-CCA CGC TCA TCA TAA CCT TCA GCA AGC TTT AAC TCA TAG TGA GCG TGG T-3’) before and after hybridization with the complementary DNA sequence. Figure 8a shows the signal amplification of the fluorescein dye of the closed form of P1. While the direct excitation of the fluorescein at 490 nm produced a weak emission, the selective excitation of POX-SH at 380 nm generated much stronger emission from the fluorescein. Upon binding with the complementary DNA (C1), the emission of the fluorescein decreased (solid triangles) due to the prevention of FRET between POX-SH and the fluorescein while the emission from fluorescein decreased only slightly (solid circles) in the presence of noncomplementary DNA (N1) (Fig. 8b). As shown in Figure 8c and d, P2 having the shorter sequence (5’-fluorescein-CGC TCC TAA AAC GAC GGC CAG TGG AGC GT-3’) showed a slightly better amplification and emission quenching upon hybridization with the target sequence (C2), such as in the turn-on cases.

We also used HEX instead of fluorescein. The absorption and emission of HEX are at longer wavelengths compared to those of fluorescein,
making the dye emission more discrete from the POX-SH emission. Even though the emission intensity of HEX is stronger, the overall degree of amplification and the signal quenching by hybridization in the HEX system are similar to those of the fluorescein system (Fig. 9).

3. Conclusion

We designed and synthesized multifunctional CP POX-SH having the oxadiazole unit for stability, a thiol group for covalent tethering to a solid substrate, and an amine unit for the solid-state on-chip DNA synthesis. We developed self-signaling DNA microarray by synthesizing molecular beacons directly on the POX-SH layer by applying the on-chip DNA synthesis. A turn-on system with molecular beacon probes having a nonfluorescent quencher (DABCYL) and a turn-off system with a fluorescent dye (fluorescein or HEX) were developed. The turn-on sensor case, the fluorescent signal of the POX-SH layer originally quenched by DABCYL was fully recovered upon binding with the complementary DNA while a nonspecific DNA sequence resulted in a minimal change in the fluorescence emission. We controlled the lengths of the loop and stem and the number of DABCYL in the molecular beacon design and investigated the effects of these design parameters on the sensitivity of the DNA microarray. A smaller distance between the beacon and the POX-SH layer, a smaller size loop, and a monoquencher provided the best sensitivity. In the turn-off system, a fluorescein (green dye) or a HEX (yellow dye) acting as FRET acceptors was placed at the end of the molecular beacon. In the closed form, the fluorescent dye located close to the POX-SH layer, allowed efficient fluorescence resonance energy from the FRET donor, POX-SH, and produced amplified emission. When the molecular probe recognized its target sequence, it formed a stable probe-target double helix by DNA hybridization.
This recognition event moved the dye away from the POX-SH layer preventing FRET from the donor POX-SH to the dye and quenched the dye emission. We demonstrated the self-signaling and signal-amplifying DNA microarray by combining rational molecular design of an emissive CP, POX-SH, and solid-state DNA synthesis of molecular beacons. The obtained results provide a molecular design principle to build a self-signaling DNA microarray and control its sensitivity.

4. Experimental

Materials and Methods: All solvents and reagents for monomer and polymer syntheses were used as received from Sigma–Aldrich Chemical Co. or Fischer Scientific Co. All the chemicals for the on-chip oligonucleotide synthesis such as phosphoramidites, 5′-DABCYL phosphoramidite, 5′-hexachlorofluorescein phosphoramidite, and trebler phosphoramidite were purchased from Glen Research Co. A crosslinker, SMCC, was purchased from Pierce Inc. (Fisher Scientific Co.).

$^1$H NMR spectra (400 or 500 MHz) were obtained with Varian Inova 400 or 500 NMR instrumentation. UV–vis absorption spectra were obtained using a Varian Cary50 UV–vis spectrophotometer. Photoluminescence spectra and quantum yield in the solid state were recorded using PTI QuantaMaster spectrofluorometer equipped with an integrating sphere. The $M_n$, $M_w$, and the molecular weight distributions were determined by GPC with respect to polystyrene standards (Waters Corp.) using THF as an eluent.

Synthesis of 1,4-Dibromo-2,5-bis(6-bromohexyl)benzene (1): 2,5-Dibromohydroquinone (13.8 g, 51.5 mmol), 1,6-dibromohexane (151 g, 618 mmol), potassium carbonate (56.9 g, 412 mmol), and 600 mL of acetone were added to a 1000 mL two-neck round bottom flask. The mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 72 h. The crude mixture was filtered to remove any insoluble salt and impurities. The solution was concentrated at reduced pressure and precipitated in 800 mL of methanol. The product isolated by filtration and dried in vacuo. Additional purification was done by recrystallization in a chloroform and hexane mixture. Yield: (16.8 g, 55%).

$^1$H NMR spectra (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$/ppm 7.00 (s, 2H, aromatic), 3.96 (t, 4H, $-\text{OCH}_2$), 1.97 (m, 4H, $-\text{CH}_2\text{Br}$), 1.92 (m, 4H, $-\text{CH}_2$), 1.52 (m, 4H, $-\text{CH}_2$), 13C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$/ppm 150.1, 118.7, 111.3, 69.8, 33.9, 32.5, 28.9, 27.7, 27.3.

Synthesis of 6,6′-(2,6-Dibromo-1,4-phenylene)bis(oxane-1-thiol) (2): Compound 1 (8.22 g, 13.8 mmol) was dissolved in 70 mL of ethanol and stirred for 30 min under purging argon. Thiourea (2.11 g, 27.6 mmol) was slowly added to the solution, and the solution was heated under reflux overnight. The crude mixture was poured into 10% sodium hydroxide solution (50 mL) and stirred for 4 h at room temperature. The solution was neutralized with 10% HCl (32 mL). The mixture was filtered at reduced pressure. The solid was extracted with methylene chloride/water three times. The combined organic phase was again washed with deionized (DI) water two times. The methylene chloride solution was dried with sodium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated at reduced pressure at room temperature. The compound was additionally dried in vacuo. The product obtained was unstable in air due to disulfide formation and showed decreased solubility in organic solvents. The product was used in the following step without further purification. Yield (6.5 g, 94%).

$^1$H NMR spectra (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$/ppm 7.09 (s, 2H, aromatic), 3.98 (t, 4H, $-\text{OCH}_2$), 2.56 (t, 4H, $-\text{CH}_2\text{Br}$), 1.83 (m, 4H, $-\text{CH}_2$), 1.67 (m, 4H, $-\text{CH}_2$), 1.53 (m, 4H, $-\text{CH}_2$), 1.46 (m, 4H, $-\text{CH}_2$), 1.36 (t, 2H, $-\text{SH}$).

Synthesis of 16′(6′,6′-Dibromo-1,4-phenylene)bis(oxane-6,1-diyl))bis(triethylsulfane) (M1): Compound 2 (5.00 g, 10 mmol) and 40 mL...
of anhydrous methylene chloride were added to a 250 mL two-neck round bottom flask. After stirring the mixture for 10 min under purging argon, trityl chloride (11.14 g, 40.0 mmol) in methylene chloride (10 mL) was slowly added, and the mixture was stirred under purging argon at room temperature for 24 h. The solution was extracted with 10% NaOH and washed again with DI water. The solution was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation at reduced pressure. Additional purification was done by silica gel column chromatography (ethyl acetate:hexane = 1:9), and the product was dried in vacuo to give a white powder (yield: 7.4 g, 75%).

8-H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): δ ppm 7.42 (m, 12 H, aromatic), 7.30 (dd, 12 H, aromatic), 7.22 (m, 6H, aromatic), 7.09 (s, 2H, aromatic), 3.91 (t, 4H, OCH2), 2.17 (t, 4H, CH2Br), 1.50–2.00 (m, 16H, CH2), 1.15–1.25 (m, 15H, CH2).

The final product of the polymerization was POX-SH, which was reacted with SMCC was washed out with 50 mL of anhydrous DMF and anhydrous methane (9 mL) with a variety of incubation times (0.5, 1, 3 h, and overnight) and subsequently washed with chloroform, methylene chloride, and DI water. Further cleaning was conducted by the sonication of the glass slide in chloroform for 5 min to remove any physically bound polymer chains to substrate. After drying the slide by a stream of nitrogen, it was preserved in a glovebox (

Polymer Synthesis (POX-SH): M1 (39.3 mg, 39.9 μmol), M2 (76.4 mg, 119.7 μmol), M3 (93.4 mg, 119.7 μmol), M4 (140.3 mg, 279.3 μmol), THF (7 mL), and 1 mL K2CO3 (7 mL) were added to a 50 mL Schlenk flask. Degasst tetraakis(triphenylphosphine) palladium(0) (3.5 mg, 6.6 μmol) in THF (1 mL) prepared in separate Schlenk, was transferred to the monomer mixture by cannula, and the monomer solution was degassed by several cycles of vacuum and argon purging. Suzuki-type polymerization was carried out at 75 ºC for 48 h. Polymer solution was precipitated in 100 mL of methanol and filtered by gravity force. The solid product was washed with water (2 × 20 mL) and acetone (2 × 20 mL). The polymer pellet was redissolved in chloroform and washed twice with DI water. The solution was dried over MgSO4, filtered, and vacuum evaporated using a rotary evaporator as a reducing pressure. Additional purification was done by silica gel column chromatography (ethyl acetate:hexane = 1:9), and the product was dried in vacuo to give a white powder (yield: 180 mg, 63%). The Mn and Mw were calculated for the polymer before the cleavage of the t-BOC and trityl blocking groups. After evaporation of the solvent, TFA, the polymer was redissolved in chloroform and washed with 1 M KOH solution, followed by NaCl solution, and DI water to give a yellow polymer P1 (yield: 180 mg, 63%). The Mn and Mw were calculated for the polymer before the cleavage of the t-BOC due to the limited solubility of P1 in THF (the GPC eluent): Mw = 19500, Mw = 76 000. 8-H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): δ ppm 8.3–6.8 (m, aromatic C-H), 4.18–3.95 (m, aliphatic CH2), 2.75–2.65 (m, aliphatic –CH2SH and –CH2NH2), 2.2–0.6 (broad m, aliphatic CH3 and CH2).

Glass Substrate Modification and Polymer Immobilization: A slide glass (2.54 cm × 7.62 cm) was incubated in ammonium hydroxide/water/35% hydrogen peroxide (1:4:1 v/v/v) solution at 80 ºC for 1 h. The slide was rinsed with a sufficient amount of DI water (ca. 50 mL) and dried with nitrogen gas. It was dipped into Piranha solution (caution: H2SO4:35% H2O2 = 3:7 v/v, highly exothermic, H2SO4 must be poured slowly into H2O2) and incubated overnight. The slide was then rinsed with 100 mL of DI water, dried with a stream of nitrogen gas, transferred into 97% APTMS/methanol/water (1:24:1 v/v/v), and sonicated for 30 min. The solution temperature was elevated from 20 to 37 ºC. The slide was washed with methanol (30 mL) and DI water (30 mL) and dried with nitrogen gas. It was baked in a convection oven at 120 ºC for 30 min. The amino-functionalized glass slide was incubated with 7.5 mg of SMCC in anhydrous dimethylformamide (DMF) (200 μL) at room temperature for 2 h. Excess SMCC was washed out with 50 mL of anhydrous DMF and anhydrous chloroform and dried with a stream of air. Only one side of the slide was reacted with POX-SH in chloroform (9 mL) with a variety of incubation times (0.5, 1, 3 h, and overnight) and subsequently washed with chloroform, methylene chloride, and DI water. Further cleaning was conducted by the sonication of the glass slide in chloroform for 5 min to remove any physically bound polymer chains to substrate. After drying the slide by a stream of nitrogen, it was preserved in a glovebox (−35 ºC) for further DNA synthesis. Slide preparation and POX immobilization have been reported previously [46].

On-Chip Oligonucleotide Synthesis and Oligonucleotide Density Measurement: The light-directed, on-chip oligonucleotide reactions were carried out using apparatus described previously [19, 24, 41, 46, 47, 52]. On-chip oligonucleotide synthesis was performed using standard phosphoramidite chemistry except for the deprotection step, where a 2% trichloroacetic acid (TCA)/methylene chloride (single sequence) or iodium PGA-P solution (multisequences by arayal patterns) to deprotect the terminal dimethoxysilyl protecting group at selected reaction sites. DABCYL, dye (fluorescein or HEX), or trebler phosphoramidite was also used at the end of ology synthesis to introduce single-/multi-quinchers and dye as an energy acceptor. Oligonucleotide synthesis was confirmed by UV–vis speutra and fluorescence images after hybridization with dye-labeled DNA, obtained from a GenePix 4000B microarray scanner (Molecular Devices Corp.) with dual lasers (532 nm/17 mW, 635 nm/10 mW). To measure the density of DNA on polymer-coated glass, DMT quantification method was used. A glass slide having oligonucleotide was treated with 0.1 M of p-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (TSA, 4 mL) in anhydrous acetonitrile for 1 min. DMT molecules cleaved from the final cycle of oligo synthesis was collected. UV absorption of the DMT solution was measured by UV spectroscopy to quantify DMT concentration. A major peak corresponding to a DMT cation appears at 500 nm. We used a second peak at 410 nm with an extinction coefficient of 28 690. According to the Beer’s law below, the concentration for a given DMT solution is constant:

\[ A = \text{abc} \quad (1) \]

where A is the absorbance (no units, since \( A = \log_{10}(I_0/I) \), c is the molar absorptivity with units of L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹, b is the path length of the sample (cm, 1 cm cuvette), and c is the concentration of the DMT in acetonitrile, expressed in mol L⁻¹.

Hybridization Test and Fluorescence Detection: Hybridization buffer contained 20 mM Tris–HCl (where Tris is tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane), 55 mM NaCl, 10.8 mM KCl, 2 mM EDTA, and 100 mM MgCl2 at pH 8.0. All DNA hybridization tests were conducted at 25 °C. Slides were first rinsed with hot water sufficiently and immersed in hybridization buffer. Hybridization DNA solutions (1 × 10⁻³ M, hybridization buffer above: 49.5 μL, complementary DNA: 0.5 μL) were heated to 90 °C to prevent nonspecific loop formation of the target oligo and were applied onto the slide. The slide was covered with blank slide and kept in a humid chamber and incubated for 1h. The slide was rinsed with blank hybridization buffer and cold water (3 °C) by quick dipping. FRET tests were performed by checking UV absorbance and photoluminescence emission changes before and after hybridization upon the excitation of POX-SH at 380 nm or the dye excitation at 490 nm (fluorescein) or 535 nm (HEX). Relative fluorescence increase in the fluorescein test was calculated using (\( I_{\text{target}}/I_{\text{polymer}} \))/\( I_{\text{probe}}/I_{\text{polymer}} \), where \( I_{\text{probe}} \) and \( I_{\text{target}} \) are the photoluminescence intensity of dye before and after hybridization, respectively, and \( I_{\text{polymer}} \) is the photoluminescence intensity of polymer before DNA synthesis.
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